Accredited with Distinction

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 points for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible[2]
Academic Achievement	Meets	86.1% (12.9 out of 15 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	78.6% (27.5 out of 35 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	63.3% (9.5 out of 15 points)
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	92.2% (32.3 out of 35 points)
Test Participation[3]	Meets 95% Participation Rate	
TOTAL		82.2% (82.2 out of 100 points)

[2] Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

[3] Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance[4]	Meets Requirements
Safety[4]	Meets Requirements

[4] Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	99.1%	94.9%	98.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	3280	3265	2565	9110	3294	3296	2704	9294
Mathematics	99.6%	99.1%	95.0%	98.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	3283	3265	2568	9116	3295	3296	2704	9295
Writing	99.7%	99.2%	95.0%	98.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	3284	3268	2570	9122	3295	3296	2704	9295
Science	99.8%	99.0%	-	99.4%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	1089	1121	-	2210	1091	1132	-	2223
Social Studies	99.7%	99.3%	-	99.5%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	1114	1069	-	2183	1117	1076	-	2193
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.7%	97.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1151	1151	-	-	1178	1178

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile
Reading	3	4		Meets	3212	84.22	88
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	3213	82.66	87
Writing	3	4		Meets	3214	68.86	88
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	2059	57	21	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2064	56	35	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	2065	58	33	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	310	62	28	Yes
Total	11	14	78.6%	Meets				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	439	50	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	538	57	31	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	139	45	62	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	153	55	46	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	309	55	61	No
Mathematics	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	441	50	56	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	536	51	49	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	141	45	80	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	153	51	62	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	328	57	81	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	442	52	48	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	538	55	44	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	143	48	71	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	153	54	56	No
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	664	61	59	Yes
Total	40	60	66.7%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	3217	83.84	92
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	3218	75.85	97
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	3220	74.41	91
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	12	12	100%	Exceeds			

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	3080	53	18	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	3082	61	46	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	3081	51	33	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	89	57	56	Yes
Total	11.5	14	82.1%	Meets				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	708	54	33	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	786	52	26	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	268	49	68	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	275	53	35	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	471	51	65	No
Mathematics	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	711	55	72	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	787	60	62	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	268	52	93	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	275	55	72	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	680	58	89	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	707	46	52	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	784	51	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	267	43	81	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	273	48	51	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	736	50	74	No
Total	38	60	63.3%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

3

DPF 2014 - 0140, 1-Year

District: LITTLETON 6 – 0140 | (1 Year)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile
Reading	3	4		Meets	2524	82.29	83
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	2528	54.15	92
Writing	3	4		Meets	2529	66.51	89
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets			

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	2305	47	6	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2303	51	49	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	2309	49	23	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	70	55	34	Yes
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	396	46	29	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	577	48	12	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	171	44	72	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	163	51	35	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	363	53	70	No
Mathematics	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	395	45	95	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	577	52	84	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	169	48	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	163	58	92	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	804	55	99	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	399	47	66	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	577	47	44	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	172	47	95	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	164	51	74	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	577	51	88	No
Total	36	60	60%	Approaching				

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/*5yr*/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	1362/*1321*/1371/1383	92.1/*92.5*/92.3/91.8%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.75	4	68.8%	Meets			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	235/*244*/190/182	75.3/*79.5*/76.3/76.4%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets	258/260/251/*187*	86.8/86.9/82.9/*87.2*%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets	119/113/120/*130*	73.9/75.2/78.3/*83.1*%	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets	39/56/43/*40*	71.8/67.9/76.7/*80*%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	8262	0.8%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	4	4		Exceeds	1151	22.4	20.0
Total	14.75	16	92.2%	Exceeds			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

DPF 2014 - 0140, 1-Year

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	87.2	90.2	90.8	91.8
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2011	89.2	91.5	92.3	
	2012	90.2	*92.5*		
	2013	92.1			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	87.2	90.2	90.8	91.8
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2011	89.2	91.5	92.3	
	2012	90.2	92.5		
	2013	92.1			
	Aggregated	89.7	91.4	91.5	*91.8*

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	64	74.1	74.3	76.4
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2011	71.5	75	76.3	
	2012	73.3	*79.5*		
	2013	75.3			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	64	74.1	74.3	76.4
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2011	71.5	75	76.3	
	2012	73.3	79.5		
	2013	75.3			
	Aggregated	71.5	*76.6*	75.3	76.4

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	77.8	83.1	84.6	*87.2*
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2011	79	82.1	82.9	
	2012	82.8	86.9		
	2013	86.8			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	77.8	83.1	84.6	87.2
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2011	79	82.1	82.9	
	2012	82.8	86.9		
	2013	86.8			
	Aggregated	81.9	84.2	83.6	*87.2*

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	65.6	71.7	73.3	*83.1*
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2011	62.9	72.1	78.3	
	2012	65.5	75.2		
	2013	73.9			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	65.6	71.7	73.3	83.1
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2011	62.9	72.1	78.3	
	2012	65.5	75.2		
	2013	73.9			
	Aggregated	66.9	72.9	75.7	*83.1*

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	63.4	76.3	75.6	*80*
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2011	73.2	75	76.7	
	2012	62.5	67.9		
	2013	71.8			

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	63.4	76.3	75.6	80
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2011	73.2	75	76.7	
	2012	62.5	67.9		
	2013	71.8			
	Aggregated	67.2	72.5	76.2	*80*

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPF, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	15
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	*Made AGP*	*Did Not Make AGP*		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	*Made AGP*	*Did Not Make AGP*		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	*Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:*			Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:						
	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	Cut Point: The district earned … of the points eligible on this Indicator.	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% – below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% – below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment

	Cut Point: The district earned … of the total framework points eligible.	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 80%	Distinction
	• at or above 64% – below 80%	Accredited
	• at or above 52% – below 64%	Improvement
	• at or above 42% – below 52%	Priority Improvement
	• below 42%	Turnaround

District Plan Type Assignments

	Plan description	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1